Complaints of concealment of Property.

378. മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എം. ഇബ്രാഹിം.—(a) വസ്തുക്കൾ മറവു ചെയ്തതായി നമ്മുടെ നിയമകോടതികളിൽ എത്ര ആവലാതികൾ ഉണ്ടായിട്ടുണ്ട്;

(b) (കഴിഞ്ഞ സാധിയ്ക്കുന്നിടത്തോളം കൊല്ലങ്ങളിൽ) അതിനുള്ള കാരണങ്ങളേവ;

(c) ക്രമപ്രവൃദ്ധമായിക്കൊണ്ടിരിയ്ക്കുന്ന കൃഷീവലന്മാരുടെ സാമ്പത്തികാധഃപതനം അതിനുള്ള കാരണങ്ങളിൽ ഒന്നാണോ;

(d) അതിനെ പ്രതിരോധിയ്ക്കുവാൻ സർക്കാർ എന്തുചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്;

(e) ഇനി എന്തുചെയ്യുവാൻ വിചാരിയ്ക്കുന്നു?

രാമവർമ്മതമ്പുരാൻ.—പ്രസ്തുത സംഗതികളെപ്പറ്റി വളരെ കേസ് ഫയലുകളും മറ്റു റിക്കാർഡ്കളും നോക്കേണ്ടതായതുകൊണ്ട് ശരിയായ ഉത്തരം പറയുന്നതിന് ഇപ്പോൾ സാധിക്കുന്നതല്ല.

Coercive steps to realise debts.

379. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—With reference to question No. 422 regarding coercive steps to realise debts, will the Government be pleased to place the information on the table?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—No. of instalment payment decrees. 1,624.

No. of coercive steps taken for realising debts 2,849.

Choondal Panchayat road—maintenance.

380. Mr. P. Krishna Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) the date when tenders were called for for road maintenance in the above said Panchayat for the year 1108;

(2) when were they notified to be submitted;

(3) what is the reason for delaying the calling for tenders;

(4) how many tenders were received, with the names of the tenderers and the percentage of deduction offered by each;

(5) to whom was it given;

(6) if not to the lowest tenderer, what was the reason for rejecting his tender;

(7) was not the lowest tenderer a person of considerable experience in the execution of such works?

Mr. P. V. Raphael.—(1) 24th Edavam 1108.

(2) 27th Edavam 1108.

(3) The estimate was got sanctioned on 2nd Edavam 1108. As per the request of the Panchayat, the work was sanctioned to be done departmentally provided the President will have it done under his supervision. But on 16th Edavam 1108, the Panchayat wrote to say that they are not willing to do it depart-

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

mentally and that the same might be got done otherwise. This caused some delay.

(4) Three. (A. M. Krishnan Ezhuthassan 12½%; Kakkasseri Mathew Anthony 20% and M. I. Koyamu 17½%).

(5) A. M. Krishnan Ezhuthassan.

(6) Anthony, the lowest tenderer, is the uncle of the President and as such, it might be difficult for the President to exact work from the contractor. Besides, the time at our disposal was very short and the work is very extensive. A known and smart contractor had, therefore, to be selected without at the same time causing any loss to Sirkar. The work was, therefore, entrusted to Krishnan Ezhuthassan at the rates offered by Anthony. The work is progressing well and nearing completion.

(7) No information to the effect is available here.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly